

United States Patent and Trademark Office

ein

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/668,632	09/23/2003	Seung June Yi	2101-3054	2199
35884 7590 08/29/2007 LEE, HONG, DEGERMAN, KANG & SCHMADEKA 660 S. FIGUEROA STREET			EXAMINER	
			BURROWES, LAWRENCE J	
Suite 2300 LOS ANGELES, CA 90017		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		•	2616	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/29/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/668,632	YI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	LAWRENCE J. BURROWES	2616			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was a failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Section 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Expression 25 or 25 o	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-43 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 13-21 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4,7-11,22-27,29-32,34-37 and 39-42 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 5,6,12,28,33,38 and 43 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers					
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 23 September 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/14/04, 7/27/06 and 1/30/07. 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

DETAILED ACTION

NOTE: The claim scope is not limited by claim language that suggest to makes optional but does not require steps to be performed, or by claim language that does not limit a claim to a particular structure, such as by using the term "adapted to." Therefore claim language following this phrase will not be considered. It is suggested applicant remove this term.

Priority

1. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in the US on September 23, 2003. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed a certified copy of the KR 2002-57499 and KR 2002-68922 application as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).

Drawings

2. Figures 1-4 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/668,632

Art Unit: 2616

Specification

Page 3

3. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claims 7-10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Willenegger et al (7177658) hereafter Willenegger.

For claim 7, Willenegger disclose a method for providing a multicasting service in a radio communication system (see column 6 lines 51-58, MBMS broadcasting system over wireless channels), the method comprising: establishing a data-only shared data channel and a control-only shared control channel (see column 7 lines 48-53 and column 10 lines 1-24, MBMS data and control channels are mapped to different shared channels); and transmitting data of the multicasting service via the established shared data channel and the established shared

control channel (see column 7 lines 48-58, information is transmitted over the shared channels).

Regarding claim 8, wherein the channels are physical layer channels (see column 6 lines 9-12, physical channels are at the physical layer).

Regarding claim 9, wherein the shared data channel is a physical downlink shared channel for data (D-PDSCH) (see column 7 lines 48-53 and column 10 lines 1-24, MBMS data is mapped to PDSCH).

Regarding claim 10, wherein the shared control channel is a physical downlink shared channel for control (C-PDSCH) (see column 7 lines 48-53 and column 10 lines 1-24, MBMS control is mapped to PDSCH).

Regarding claim 12, wherein the data-only shared data channel and the control-only shared control channel are separate channel (see column 10 lines 1-24, the shared channels are separate since there is a physical data and control channel).

6. Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Willenegger. For claim 22, Willenegger disclose a method of providing multicasting service in a mobile communication system (see column 6 lines 51-58, MBMS broadcasting system over wireless channels), comprising: simultaneously transmitting data to a plurality of terminals on a first shared physical channel (see column 7 lines 48-58 and column 10 lines 1-24, data is transmitted over the shared channels); and transmitting information on a second shared physical channel (see column 7 lines 48-58 and column 10 lines 1-24, control information is transmitted over the other shared channel), the information associated with the data transmitted on

the first shared physical channel (see column 7 lines 48-58 and column 10 lines 1-24, data and control shared channels are associated in the MBMS transmission service).

Regarding claim 23, further comprising mapping a common logic channel to the first shared physical channel (see column 10 lines 16-18, logical channel mapped to PDSCH).

Regarding claim 24, wherein the first shared physical channel is a physical downlink shared channel for data (see column 7 lines 48-53 and column 10 lines 1-24, MBMS data is mapped to PDSCH).

Regarding claim 25, wherein the second shared physical channel is a physical downlink shared channel for control (see column 7 lines 48-53 and column 10 lines 1-24, MBMS control is mapped to PDSCH).

Regarding claim 26, wherein a plurality of codes are used for the data transmitted on the first shared physical channel (see column 15 lines 7-17, multiple codes used for transmission).

Regarding claim 27, wherein data is transmitted on the second shared physical channel.

7. Claims 29-32 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Willenegger.

For claim 29, Willenegger disclose a method of providing multicasting service in mobile communication system (see column 6 lines 51-58, MBMS broadcasting

system over wireless channels), comprising: receiving data on a first shared physical channel (see column 7 lines 48-58 and column 10 lines 1-24, data is received over the shared channels by devices); receiving information on a second shared physical channel (see column 7 lines 48-58 and column 10 lines 1-24, control information is received over the other shared channel by the devices), the information associated with the data transmitted on the first shared physical channel (see column 7 lines 48-58 and column 10 lines 1-24, data and control shared channels are associated in the MBMS transmission service); and processing the data received on the first shared physical channel using the information received on the second shared physical channel (see Abstract, data received contains data that is processed by the devices).

Regarding claim 30, further comprising mapping the data to a common logic channel (see column 10 lines 16-18, logical channel mapped to PDSCH).

Regarding claim 31, further comprising using a plurality of codes to process the data received on the first shared physical channel (see column 15 lines 7-17, multiple codes used for transmission).

Regarding claim 32, further comprising: receiving data on the second shared physical channel (see column 7 lines 48-58 and column 10 lines 1-24, control information is received over the other shared channel by the devices); and processing the data received on the second shared physical channel (see Abstract, control information received contains data that is processed by the devices).

Application/Control Number: 10/668,632 Page 7

Art Unit: 2616

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 10. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Willenegger in view of Beckmann et al (US 2003/0035423) hereafter Beckmann.

For claim 1, Willenegger disclose a method of providing multicasting service to a plurality of users in radio communication (see column 2 lines 64-67 and column 3 lines 1-8, multi-cast service used to communication to multiple users), the method comprising:

establishing three or more data transmission states for radio communication (see column 1 lines 8-11 and lines 23-31, services are broadcast, point to point, point to multipoint and multicast).

Application/Control Number: 10/668,632

Art Unit: 2616

Willenegger does not teach employing two or more state transition conditions to change or maintain the data transmission state; and providing data of the multicasting service to the user with a particular data transmission state determined by the state transition conditions.

Beckmann from the same or similar fields of endeavor teaches employing two or more state transition conditions to change or maintain the data transmission state; and providing data of the multicasting service to the user with a particular data transmission state determined by the state transition conditions.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify/implement the data transmission system of Beckmann into the broadcast system of Willenegger by programming the transport layers handle more than one transmission state. The motivation to do so would be so that the system resources would be reserved.

Regarding claim 2, wherein two of the data transmission states relate to a dedicated channel (see Willenegger column 6 lines 22-48, DTCH).

Regarding claim 3, wherein, of the two data transmission states, one data transmission state is based upon point-to-point data transmission, and the other data transmission state is based upon point-to-multipoint data transmission (see Willenegger column 1 lines 8-11 and lines 23-31, services are broadcast, point to point, point to multipoint and multicast).

Regarding claim 4, wherein one of the data transmission states pertains to a forward access channel (see Willenegger column 6 lines 22-48, FACH).

11. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Willenegger as applied to claim 7 above, in view of the official notice.

For claim 11, Willenegger discloses all of the claimed invention except wherein the channels are uni-directional. Examiner takes official notice that the channels are uni-directional. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have uni-directional channels. The motivation to do so would be so that increased system efficiency could be achieved.

12. Claims 34-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Campbell (6944475) in view of Willenegger.

For claims 34 and 39, Campbell disclose a terminal (electronic radio system) for providing multicasting service in mobile communication system (see column 6 lines 20-22, multicasting interface), the terminal comprising:

a first transmitting/receiving module (see Figure 1 Box 106, transceiver one); a second transmitting/receiving module (see Figure 1 Box 108, transceiver two); and a processing module (see Figure 1 Box 104, processor).

Campbell teaches all the limitations of the claimed invention except transmitting/receiving data on a first shared physical channel; transmitting/receiving information on a second shared physical channel, the information associated with the data transmitted on the first shared physical

channel; and processing the information received on the second shared physical channel to process the data received on the first shared physical.

Willenegger from the same or similar fields of endeavor teaches transmitting/receiving data on a first shared physical channel see column 7 lines 48-58 and column 10 lines 1-24, data is transmitted/received over the shared channels by devices); transmitting/receiving information on a second shared physical channel (see column 7 lines 48-58 and column 10 lines 1-24, control information is transmitted/received over the other shared channel by the devices), the information associated with the data transmitted on the first shared physical channel (see column 7 lines 48-58 and column 10 lines 1-24, data and control shared channels are associated in the MBMS transmission service); and processing the information received on the second shared physical channel to process the data received on the first shared physical (see Abstract, data received contains data that is processed by the devices).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one od ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify/implement the broadcast system of Willenegger into the communication block of Campbell by connection the communication block into the base stations of Willenegger. The motivation to do so would be so that the resources during the transmitting and receiving process can be shared creating more bandwidth for the system.

Regarding claims 35 and 40, a module mapping the data to a common logic channel (see Willenegger column 10 lines 16-18, logical channel mapped to PDSCH).

Regarding claims 36 and 41, the module using a plurality of codes to process the data received on the first shared physical channel (see Willenegger column 15 lines 7-17, multiple codes used for transmission).

Regarding claims 37 and 42, a module transmitting/receiving data on the second shared physical channel (see column 7 lines 48-58 and column 10 lines 1-24, control information is received over the other shared channel by the devices) and the processing module processing data received on the second shared physical channel (see Abstract, control information received contains data that is processed by the devices).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 13. Claims 13-21 allowed.
- 14. Claims 5, 6, 12, 28, 33, 38 and 43 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Regarding claims 5 and 6, the prior art fails to teach a combination of one data transmission state directly transitions to another data transmission state in accordance with the transmission conditions and the state transitions conditions

comprise a total number of users, and parameters for radio communication resources.

Regarding claims 13-21, the prior art fails to teach selecting one of the transmission states for transmitting data based on at least one state transmission condition.

Regarding claims 28, 33, 38, 43, the prior are fails to teach a combination of transmitting information on second shared physical channel including at least one of reception indicator information, channel code information, pilot bits, service data, and information regarding the number and size of the data transmitted on the first shared physical channel.

Conclusion

15. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Terry (6807192), Sarkkinen et al (6839565), Mate et al (6970438), Wan et al (6240288) and Beckmann et al (US 2003/0022683).

Examiner's Note: Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings of the art and are applied to specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant in preparing responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the Examiner.

In the case of amending the claimed invention, Applicant is respectfully requested to indicate the portion(s) of the specification which dictate(s) the structure relied on for

Application/Control Number: 10/668,632 Page 13

Art Unit: 2616

proper interpretation and also to verify and ascertain the metes and bounds of the claimed invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LAWRENCE J. BURROWES whose telephone number is (571) 270-1419. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 5:30am - 2pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edan D. Orgad can be reached on (571) 272-7884. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JB SUF

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Slen Organia 8/20/07

EDAN D. ORGAD